

The Quarterly Journal of New thoughts on Education

Faculty of Education and Psychology Vol.17, No.4, Ser. 62, Winter 2022, p. 1-5 Journal homepage: https://jontoe.alzahra.ac.ir/



doi 10.22051/JONTOE.2021.32424.3108

Research Paper

Factors and Requirements Affecting the Development of Citizenship Education in High Schools in Tehran

Leila Darvishi¹, Parivash Jaaafari^{2*}, Nader Soleimani³

- PhD in Educational Management, Science and Research Unit, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. ldarvishi14@yahoo.com
- 2. Corresponding author: Associate Professor, Department of Educational Management, Science and Research Unit, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. pjaafari@yahoo.com
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Educational Management, Garmsar Unit, Islamic Azad University, Iran. drnasoleimani@yahoo.com

Received: 2020-07-27 Accepted: 2021-10-03

Abstract

The present study was conducted to identify the factors affecting the development of citizenship education in high schools in Tehran. This applied qualitative study used content analysis to examine the data were extracted from semi-structured interviews with 20 "citizenship education specialists", "senior education managers" and "principals and teachers of high schools in Tehran" who were selected by theoretical and purposive sampling. These data were encoded in open, axial and selective stages. Repeatability and reliability of the results were confirmed by two coders. The study results were categorized into 40 subcategories, 12 main categories and three themes under intra-institutional, trans-institutional and inter-institutional factors.

Keywords: Education, Citizenship Development, Effective Requirements and Factors, Tehran High Schools

Introduction

Citizenship is affected by social, political and cultural developments of the post-structuralistic era, and has found its place in research in this field as a sensitive education issue. The main reason for considering this issue is the role that a citizen has in the comprehensive development of the country.

Unfortunately, the education system in Iran lacks a theoretical model for education and development of citizenship competencies throughout its long history (Aghazadeh, 2006). The negative effects of these conditions have not helped educators and those involved in education in Iran to accurately define the educational ideals. The results of studies conducted on the education system suggest that the existing program is the outcome of an agreement between the planning group members and academic experts rather than consideration of the students' and society's needs (Mehrmohammadi, 2013; Hazeri & Khalili, 2014). Article 26 of the Dakar Framework for Action urges governments to respect and protect schools as sanctuaries and peace zones. As such, educational programs should be so designed to promote the full development of human character and profound respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms (UNESCO, 2017). Like other social institutions, schools derive their purpose, mode of action, responsibility, and method from their ruling society and culture, and the society's quality is determined by the type of education received (Shariatmadari, 2016). Such understanding turns schools to a place to practice life and experience different fields. Therefore, what can explain the main mission and philosophy of the formation and development of schools in the current era is education of citizenship, in other words, schools should function as a "school of life" (Gootheg, 2016). If education is accepted as a pillar of generating civic awareness, the question will arise; "what factors and requirements affect the development of citizenship education?".

Methodology

This applied qualitative study used content analysis to explore the factors affecting the development of citizenship education in high schools in Tehran. The potential participants include experts in the subject matter, policy makers and senior education managers, school principals and teachers in Tehran. A theoretical and purposive sampling approach was used, and to ensure the diversity in the samples, 20 individuals were asked to take part. The data were collected using semi-structured interviews; each lasting 60 minutes on average. The researcher conducted interviews to obtain the participants' views according to the principle of theoretical sensitivity, the balance of objectivity and sensitivity, taking analytical notes, and observing professional and ethical principles. In qualitative studies, data are analyzed as they are collected. Data were analyzed and categorized in open, axial and selective coding stages. To assess the data obtained, the researcher used member-check, self-check, and agreement between two coders. The reliability between two coders was 81.5%. Thus, the results are confirmed in terms of repeatability and reliability between two coders.

Results

The study results were categorized into 40 subcategories, 12 main categories and three themes under intra-institutional, trans-institutional and interinstitutional factors.

Table 1: Themes and theme clusters of factors affecting the development of citizenship education (intra-institutional factors)

Row	riship education (intra-institutional factories identified	Second level categories	Category clusters
1	Conceptual agreement in words		
2	Realism and avoiding sloganeering		
3	Active planning	Strategic insight	
4	Translation of macro strategies into actions		
5	Curriculum-compatible approach		
6	Interdisciplinary curriculum	Citizenship-compatible	
7	Development of extracurricular activities	curriculum	
8	Teachers' professional competency	Expert and committed teachers	
9	Teachers' role-model		
10	Teaching ability		
11	Open and democratic atmosphere		
12	The way students are perceived		Intra-
13	Variability (accepting differences)		institutional
14	Avoiding double standards	Cultural and social	factors
15	The culture of tolerating people with different ideas	atmosphere	ractors
16	Avoiding horse bridle policy		
17	Delegation of role and responsibility to students		
18	Consistency and comprehensiveness of evaluation indicators	Establishing evaluation	
19	Modeling and benchmarking programs	and feedback system	
20	Contents of the report and feedback		
21	Using consultants and experts	Sharing knowledge and	
22	Using the retired staff	experience	
23	Joint targeting	•	
24	Teachers' professional development	Development and	
25	Job satisfaction and motivation	retaining teachers	
26	Balance in policy-making and		
20	ideological supervision	Governance	
27	Avoid partisan and political bias	Governance	Trans-
28	Decentralization		institutional
29	Reason against sloganeering		factors
30	Ethics	Public culture	
31	Social capital development		

Row	First level categories identified	Second level categories	Category clusters
32	Desilencing		
33	Forecasting financial resources	Resources and facilities	
34	Development of facilities and space		
35	Sharing inter-institutional		
	responsibilities	Inter-institutional	
36	Intellectual interactions of education	partnership and	
	with academic and research institutions	networking	Inter-
37	Media coordination with education	-	institutional
38	Common targeting		factors
39	Development of intellectual,	Family and school	
	professional and executive cooperation	harmony	
40	Financial involvement	-	

Discussion and conclusion

According to the results, citizenship education is affected by 12 main factors in the following three general categories: intra-institutional, transinstitutional and inter-institutional, which were identified as factors and requirements for the development of citizenship education from the perspective of participants. As a complex and specialized matter, and as the ultimate goal of the education system, citizenship education has created a special task for this system whose mission is to develop moral values and behavioral skills.

Given the technological, social, political, cultural and economic developments, citizenship teachings should be considered a dynamic phenomenon and compatible with global conditions, and special attention should be paid to the cultural and social reproduction of this element. Considering the need for strategic insight, participation, and networking in the implementation of citizenship education, it is recommended that policymaking institutions prepare citizenship education regulations for schools and a platform for inter-institutional areas. To share the lived experiences of teachers, it is recommended that plans be made for the establishment of knowledge management system and the formation of knowledge nuclei in schools and districts. Improving the quality of school program services requires the use of all citizenship-related educational methods, especially, service-oriented learning methods, dramatic methods and cognitive methods. Given the need to strengthen students' social skills to form citizenship competencies in the real life, it is recommended that the conditions conducive to reviving past behavioral traditions in favor of the student's integrated personality, including summer jobs and master-apprentice system be provided in addition to policy-making, planning and feasibility studies. Considering the need for realism and avoiding sloganeering, all those involved in education are recommended to choose and educate a limited

number of assessable competencies instead of setting exaggerated goals and implementing superficial plans and programs. In other words, emphasis should be placed on depth instead of extent.

Like other studies, the present study had limitations. The proposed categories were from the researcher's understanding of the participants' viewpoints. The participants were selected from experts in citizenship education, senior education managers, and principals and teachers. As participants, parents or students can also further enrich the study data. Since purposive sampling was used in the present study, it is recommended that future studies use a larger and more diverse sample size to ensure reliability and generalizability of the results. Furthermore, future studies should use factor analysis or structural equations models to assess factors identified in the present study.

References

Hazeri AM and Khalili A (2014): "Study of Citizenship Education in the Explicit Curriculum of the Formal Education Institution of Iran". Bi-Quarterly Journal of the Sociology of Social Institutions. Volume 1 Number 3: 131-149

Salsbili N (2015): "Curriculum Perspectives: Perceptions, Compositions, and Patterns. Monograph." Monadi Tarbiat Publications.

Shariatmadari A (2015): "Principles and Philosophy of Education". Amirkabir Publications

Fermihani Farahani M (2016). "The model of virtuous communicational citizenship education: a model for political and social education". Journal of Research in Islamic Education Issues. No. 31: 67-90

Gootheg G (2016): "Philosophical schools and educational ideas", translated by Mohammad Jafar Pakseresht. Samt Publications

Hermohammadi M (2013). "Curriculum: Perspectives, Approaches and Outlooks". Beh Publication

UNESCO, IBE & APCEIU. (2017). Global Citizenship Concepts in Curriculum Guidelines of 10 Countries: Comparative Analysis. In-Progress Reflections No. 9 on Current and Critical Issues in Curriculum, Learning and Assessment. [Pdf] http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images



This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).